From the Somigliana waves to the evanescent waves
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SUMMARY. — The Rayleigh equation has real coefficients; therefore, also the case of complex conjugated roots may be explained physically. The Author proves that the Somigliana waves may be found for Poisson ratio values until 0.30543; for gradually less rigid media, they are vanishing altogether and degenerate into evanescent waves.

RIASSUNTO. — A causa dell'omogeneità delle equazioni del moto ed essendo l'equazione di Rayleigh a coefficienti reali, anche il caso delle radici complesse coniugate può essere fisicamente interpretato. Viene provato che le onde di Somigliana possono insorgere per valori del rapporto di Poisson fino a 0.30543, mentre nel campo di variabilità

0.30543 < c < 0.5,

cioè in mezzi sempre più incompressibili, le onde di Somigliana (possibili solo per incidenza trasversale) degenerano in onde evanescenti.

1. In some previous notes (1-3) I have dealt with the physical interpretation of the roots of the Rayleigh equation which are above the unit, for the values of the $\nu$ coefficient of Poisson to which correspond three real roots for the Rayleigh equation. And I have proved that these roots have an exact physical meaning: they permit the theoretical interpretation of sizable groups of seismic oscillations which I named waves of Somigliana. I found then the limits within which the Somigliana waves originate, within the real roots above the unit, and I emphasized how interesting it was to include the study of such waves into the research of stratifications building up the Earth's crust.

However, as was already noted by Somigliana in this third contribution to the propagation of seismic waves \(^{(6)}\), due to the homogeneity of equations of motion and to the fact that the Rayleigh equation has real coefficients, also the case of complex, conjugated roots may be explained physically with the separation of the real from the imaginary part of roots.

This is what I am undertaking as follows.

2. First of all, let us try to find analytically the value of \(a\) separating the real field from the complex field for roots above the unit. This value has already been obtained empirically in the previous note \(^{(7)}\).

As is known, the Rayleigh equation is expressed in its most known form with the usual meaning of symbols \(^{(7)}\):

\[
\left( x - \frac{\nu^2}{\nu^2} \right)^2 = 16 \left( 1 - \frac{\nu^2}{\nu^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\nu^2}{\nu^2} \right),
\]

from which, after having made \(\nu^2 = \gamma \nu^2\),

\[
\gamma^2 = \gamma \nu^2,
\]

follows

\[
\gamma^2 - 8 \gamma^2 + 8 \left( 1 - \frac{\nu^2}{\nu^2} \right) x - 16 \left( 1 - \frac{\nu^2}{\nu^2} \right) = 0, \quad (1)
\]

Remembering that \(\gamma^2 = \frac{1 - \nu^2}{\nu^2} \nu^2 \frac{1}{\nu^2} \), we put

\[
\gamma - 1 = \frac{\nu^2}{\nu^2} \frac{1}{\nu^2} \nu^2 = \frac{1}{\nu^2} \left( 1 - \nu^2 \right) \gamma,
\]

whence \(1\) becomes

\[
\gamma^2 - 8 \gamma^2 + 8 \left( 1 - a \right) x - 16 x = 0. \quad (2)
\]

Let us see how the roots of this equation vary when \(a\) varies between 0 and \(\frac{1}{2}\), that is for

\[
\frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq 1.
\]

Now we free \(2\) from the second degree term in \(x\). To this end we put

\[
x = \frac{\gamma + \frac{1}{2}}{\gamma - \frac{1}{2}}\]
Equation [2] changes then into
\[ \frac{8}{3} \left( 6 \varepsilon - 5 \right) \delta + \frac{16}{3} \left( 6 \varepsilon - 20 \varepsilon \right) \delta = 0. \]
We make
\[ \frac{8}{3} \left( 6 \varepsilon - 5 \right) = p , \quad \frac{16}{3} \left( 6 \varepsilon - 20 \varepsilon \right) = q , \]
thus obtaining
\[ \delta^3 + p \delta + q = 0. \]
If \( p \) and \( q \) are real, we know from the mathematical analysis that the condition for the three roots of [3] to be real is expressed in the relation
\[ \frac{q - p}{2} \leq 0. \]
Considering the values of \( p \) and \( q \), we have in our case
\[ \frac{q^2}{4} - \frac{p^2}{9} \leq \frac{65 \varepsilon - 200 \varepsilon + (12 \varepsilon - 10)^2}{9} . \]
For the roots to be real, we must have
\[ f(\varepsilon) = (65 \varepsilon - 200 \varepsilon + (12 \varepsilon - 10)^2) < 0 . \]
It is easily found that the value of \( \varepsilon \) which annuls \( f(\varepsilon) \) is \( \varepsilon = 0.26305 \).
And since
\[ \varepsilon = 1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} , \]
it follows
\[ \varepsilon = 0.26305 . \]
which practically coincides with the value previously obtained (\( \varepsilon = 0.26305 \)). It is in correspondence to this value that the two roots above the unit of the Rayleigh equation coincide; in fact one obtains \( \varepsilon = 0.26305 \).
Therefore, in order to have a real root above the unit and two complex conjugated roots, it must be
\[ \frac{q^2}{4} - \frac{p^2}{9} > 0 . \]
to which corresponds for \( \alpha \) the field of variability:

\[ 0.26305 < \alpha < 0.5 \]

3. There remained now to calculate a series of complex, conjugated root couples for \( \alpha \) values within the above limits.

Calculations have been made for the following \( \alpha \) values: 0.265; 0.27; 0.3; 0.30; 0.305; 0.35; 0.40; 0.50.

The Rayleigh equations pertinent to the above \( \alpha \) values are:

- For \( \alpha = 0.265 \):
  \[ 3.1277 x^3 - 25.022 x^2 + 59.065 x - 34.043 = 0, \]

- For \( \alpha = 0.27 \):
  \[ 3.1739 x^3 - 25.391 x^2 + 60.174 x - 31.782 = 0, \]

- For \( \alpha = 0.3 \):
  \[ 3.50 x^3 - 28.512 x^2 + 69.536 x - 41.024 = 0, \]

- For \( \alpha = 0.305 \):
  \[ 3.564 x^3 - 28.512 x^2 + 69.536 x - 41.024 = 0, \]

- For \( \alpha = 0.35 \):
  \[ 4.333 x^3 - 34.66 x^2 + 88 x - 33 = 0, \]

- For \( \alpha = 0.4 \):
  \[ 3.333 x^3 - 34.66 x^2 + 88 x - 33 = 0, \]

- For \( \alpha = 0.5 \):
  \[ 5 x^3 - 8 x^2 + 24 x - 16 = 0. \]

The corresponding roots are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
<th>( x_1 )</th>
<th>( x_2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>0.8498</td>
<td>3.5752 ± 0.1622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.85125</td>
<td>3.5743 ± 0.3120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.86009</td>
<td>3.5714 ± 0.7284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>0.86154</td>
<td>3.5690 ± 0.7896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.8740</td>
<td>3.5625 ± 1.1791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8977</td>
<td>3.5562 ± 1.5406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9128</td>
<td>3.5436 ± 2.2302</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. I asked myself whether all \( \alpha \) values in the interval 0.26305 < \( \alpha < 0.5 \) were leading to roots to which corresponded Somigliana waves. Those roots are complex and conjugated and some of their values have been given under 3. They are complex, hence also the values of (i. 2),

\[ \sin 2 \phi = \frac{V_2}{V_1} \tan \phi = \frac{x}{y} - 1, \tan^2 \phi = \frac{x}{y} - 1. \]

Let us indicate a general complex root as follows

\[ z_0 = r \cos \phi + i r \sin \phi. \]
The formulas [16] will then be written
\[ V_2 = V_2 \text{ and } V_3 = V_2. \]

The relation
\[ R + iC = \sqrt{r - i \epsilon} \]
allows to obtain
\[ R = \frac{\sqrt{r^2 + C^2} - r}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad C = \frac{\sqrt{r^2 + C^2} + r}{2}. \]

After the value of \( \alpha \), included in the above interval, has been assigned, the Rayleigh equation furnishes the corresponding couple of complex, conjugated roots. Thus \( \epsilon \) and \( \epsilon \) are obtained and thence \( \tan\epsilon \) and \( \tan\epsilon \) pertaining to the chosen \( \alpha \) value.

After separating the real part from the imaginary one, we can thus arrive at the real values of \( \epsilon \) (if existing), of \( \epsilon \) and of \( \epsilon \). Let us indicate the latter \( \epsilon = \epsilon R \) while putting
\[ \epsilon = \epsilon C, \]
where the negative value for \( C \) is being taken.

On the basis of the \( \epsilon \) and \( \epsilon \) values taken from the previous table, we obtain by varying \( \epsilon \) as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
<th>( \epsilon )</th>
<th>( \tan^2 \epsilon )</th>
<th>( \tan^2 \epsilon )</th>
<th>( \tan^2 \epsilon )</th>
<th>( \tan^2 \epsilon )</th>
<th>( \epsilon )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>0.31073</td>
<td>0.152186</td>
<td>1.877234</td>
<td>2.87732</td>
<td>2.87732</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.31073</td>
<td>0.152186</td>
<td>1.877234</td>
<td>2.87732</td>
<td>2.87732</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3207</td>
<td>0.095383</td>
<td>1.877324</td>
<td>2.87742</td>
<td>2.87742</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.3906</td>
<td>0.083048</td>
<td>1.877539</td>
<td>2.87764</td>
<td>2.87764</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3906</td>
<td>0.083048</td>
<td>1.877539</td>
<td>2.87764</td>
<td>2.87764</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3906</td>
<td>0.083048</td>
<td>1.877539</td>
<td>2.87764</td>
<td>2.87764</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It follows from the analysis of the table 2 that the Somigliana waves may vary for \( \alpha \) values until 0.31; for gradually less rigid medium...
they are missing altogether and degenerate into ordinary transversal waves. The values of efficient angles, for longitudinal incidence, presuppose incidences nearing rapidly the right angle. So far as transversal incidence is concerned, the efficient angles increase slightly as the rigidity of the medium decreases and reach a limit angle of incidence of about $32^\circ$ to which corresponds the total reflection.

Considering as well the complex conjugated roots of the Rayleigh equation, the efficient angles bringing about Somigliana waves are the ones corresponding to the roots for the following field of variability of $\alpha$:

$$0 < \alpha < 0.31,$$

although, practically ($^4$), this is reducing to

$$0.25 < \alpha < 0.31.$$  

Anyhow, the use of complex conjugated roots indicates an enlargement and the limit of the field of variability of the Poisson coefficient.

Concerning the propagation velocity of the Somigliana waves (if existing), it is noted that it increases as $\alpha$ increases (that is as rigidity decreases) and reaches the maximum value

$$v' = 1.9006 - v^2$$

for the limit value of $\alpha = 0.305$.

If in the expression of $\Phi, \Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4, \Phi_5, \Phi_6$ we put $\Phi' = \Phi + j\Phi_1$ under power form

$$\Phi'[x - (\alpha + i\alpha_0)] = e^{i\alpha_0 x - j\alpha_0 t},$$

and observing that $\Phi'[x - (\alpha + i\alpha_0)] = e^{i\alpha_0 x - j\alpha_0 t - e^{i\alpha_0 t}}$, where $p$ indicates the pulsation of the oscillation and $\alpha_0$ is a negative constant which may be considered as the extinction coefficient of the oscillation in time,

for $\alpha = 0.265$, for instance, $\alpha_0 = -0.043012 - \alpha_0$, whereas for $\alpha = 0.305$, we have $\alpha_0 = -0.08277 - \alpha_0$. Therefore, at equal frequencies the propagation of the Somigliana wave is extinguished more quickly as rigidity decreases.
5. Let us have a closer look how the Somigliana waves change when their propagation is in elastic media whose $a$ coefficient shows a trend toward the value of 0.30543.

Let us consider the case of transversal incidence, where we have

\[ \tan \alpha = \left[ \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 - 1}} \right]^2, \quad \tan \theta = \left[ \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 - 1}} \right]. \]

If $(a - 1)$ is not periodical, here applies the relation (i)

\[ a = \frac{1}{a^2} \left( \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 - 1}} \right)^2 \left( a - 1 \right). \]

As $a$ tends toward the value 0.30543, $a_1$ is thus tending toward the infinite. Now, in the expressions of $a_1, a_2, \ldots$ of (ii), $a_1$ the quantity characterizing the longitudinal component, acts as a denominator in the relative terms. Therefore, these annul each other, namely the contribution of the longitudinal wave in the formation of the Somigliana wave falls away, and this degenerates into a transversal wave.

However for $a = 0.30543$, the efficient angle of incidence of the transversal waves, is 31°57',4, which coincides with the angle of total reflection of the incident transversal wave. It is fact that

\[ \frac{\rho}{\rho_d} = \frac{1 - 2 \varepsilon}{(1 - \varepsilon)^2} = 0.2063. \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\frac{\rho}{\rho_d}$</th>
<th>$\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}$</th>
<th>$\frac{1}{2} (1 - \varepsilon)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.20844</td>
<td>0.3824</td>
<td>0.14265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>0.3868</td>
<td>0.14265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.3923</td>
<td>0.14265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3988</td>
<td>0.14265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.14265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaginary</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.14265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
so that
\[
\sin \beta = \frac{c_1}{c} = 0.52927,
\]
which gives for \( \beta \) the above value.

Therefore, the efficient angle for transversal waves incident at the interface with the entire stratification, approximates in less rigid media the angle of incidence to which corresponds the total reflection, which is reached, as could be seen, for \( \alpha = 0.30543 \). Hence, in the field where \( \alpha \) varies from 0.30513 to 0.5 there are no Somigliana waves, since for them the total reflection of the incident transversal waves takes place.

The formation of Somigliana waves requires a physically finite medium beyond the surface which is hit by the wave coming from an indefinite medium \( \beta \). When the longitudinal reflected wave vanishes as progressive ordinary wave, for satisfying the conditions at the interface it is necessary to introduce an evanescent wave. If we indicate the transversal reflected wave (oscillating, of course, in the principal plane) by
\[
y = e^{kz} \sin (\cot \alpha - ax),
\]
we will have
\[
u = \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = -e^{kz} \cot \alpha - ax,
\]
where \( u \) and \( w \) are the horizontal and vertical motion components. The resultant of these movements, however, is the so-called evanescent wave which— as the Rayleigh waves— forces the reached particle to describe an elliptical motion [5] pages 386-386. In the variability field
\[
0.30543 \leq \alpha \leq 0.5,
\]
which means that always more incompressible media the Somigliana waves (possible only by transversal incidence) degenerate into evanescent waves.

In conformity to what happens in the propagation of the light, the velocity of evanescent waves in the second medium is \( c \), where \( c \) is the angle of incidence. Practically it coincides, therefore, with \( c_2 \), where \( c_2 \) is to be taken from the table \( \beta \). In case of the limit angle of incidence (\( \alpha = 31^\circ 58' \)), its is that \( c_2 \) in \( 1.2893 \) \( c \), equal at lower than \( 1/1000 \) to the value of \( c \).
Fig. 1 - Examples of $C_0_i (T = 68 s_{ab})$, $C_{12} (T = 34 s_{ab})$ and $C_{13} (T = 23 s_{ab})$ waves—by the author generically indicate as Somigliana waves—record at Somplago (on the Ceresio Lake) by a seismograph, with free period of about 120 s and optical magnification, in occasion of Alaska earthquake of July 30, 1972 (57°N, 135°W, $H = 21.4$, $f = 10$ km; $M = 7.8$) at an epicentral distance of about 8350 km. For large earthquakes (as this Alaska earthquake) $C_0_i$ waves can affect the outer layer of mantle, from the top of the asthenosphere (low-velocity channel) to the Earth’s surface (thickens of about 30 km).
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